

CS4740 CLOUD COMPUTING Cloud Infrastructure in Industry

Prof. Chang Lou, UVA CS, Spring 2024







5:30 - 7:00 PM

NEWCOMB THEATRE

Located in Lower Level **Below Pavilion XI**



Questions/Comments

sigai-contact@virginia.edu



acm



AN INTERDISCIPLINARY DISCUSSION

Al and You: Navigating the Future Together at UVA and in the Broader World

EXPERT PANELISTS

PROF. MADHUR BEHL Computer Science

PROF. REZA MOUSAVI Commerce

PROF. MICHAEL PALMER Center for Teaching Excellence, Director



LECTURE THEME

- We talked a lot about storage in this class, plus a bit about distributed computation. For storage, we focused on a particular type of interface (transactional databases).
- But there's a vast range of infrastructural components that are needed for building successful distributed applications. Large companies and open-source communities have such components available.
- This lecture aims to provide an index of such components. We won't give details about how these components are built, but pointers to where you can find out more.
 - the contents are heavily based on Malte Schwarzkopf's talk at Cambridge

"WHAT IT TAKES TO BUILD GOOGLE?"



Q

Google Search



I'm Feeling Lucky

4





What happens here?

Request sent Vaiting (TTFB) Content Download



6





A TOUR TO DATACENTER

- 1. Datacenter hardware
- -2. Datacenter software
 - a. Google
 - b. Meta and Open source
 - c. Moving forward: ML stack

Hardware









From Meta (as of 2022):

- -O(?) machines in total
- -O(?) regions
- -O(?) interdependent services
- "Machine"
 - no chassis
 - DC battery
 - mostly custom-made
- Network
 - ToR switch
 - multi-path core



From Meta (as of 2022):

- -O(1M) machines in total
- -O(10s) regions
- -O(1000s) interdependent services
- "Machine"
 - no chassis
 - DC battery
 - mostly custom-made
- Network
 - ToR switch
 - multi-path core

THE JOYS OF REAL HARDWARE Source: Jeff Dean https://static.googleusercontent.com/media/research.google.com/en//people/jeff/stanford-295-talk.pdf, 2007.

Typical first year for a new cluster:

- ~0.5 overheating (power down most machines in <5 mins, ~1-2 days to recover)
- ~1 PDU failure (~500-1000 machines suddenly disappear, ~6 hours to come back)
- ~1 rack-move (plenty of warning, ~500-1000 machines powered down, ~6 hours)
- ~1 network rewiring (rolling ~5% of machines down over 2-day span)
- ~20 rack failures (40-80 machines instantly disappear, 1-6 hours to get back)
- ~5 racks go wonky (40-80 machines see 50% packetloss)
- ~8 network maintenances (4 might cause ~30-minute random connectivity losses)
- ~12 router reloads (takes out DNS and external vips for a couple minutes)
- ~3 router failures (have to immediately pull traffic for an hour)
- ~dozens of minor 30-second blips for dns
- ~1000 individual machine failures
- ~thousands of hard drive failures

slow disks, bad memory, misconfigured machines, flaky machines, etc.

WHAT IT TAKES TO MANAGE LARGE-SCALE SYSTEMS and how is it different from HPC?

- Emphasis on **commodity** hardware

- No expensive interconnect
- Mid-range machines
- Energy/performance/cost trade-off essential
- Massive automation
 - Very small number of on-site staff
 - Automated software bootstrap
- Fault tolerant design
 - Each component can fail
 - Software must be aware and compensate



Software

SOFTWARE SYSTEMS STACK







20

GFS/COLOSSUS

- Bulk data block storage system
 - Optimized for large files (GB-size)
 - Supports small files, but not common case
 - Read, write, record-append modes
- Colossus = GFSv2, adds some improvements
 - e.g., Reed-Solomon-based erasure coding
 - better support for latency-sensitive applications
 - sharded meta-data layer, rather than single master





23

MOTIVATION

- Lots of (semi-)structured data at Google

- Web data: Contents, crawl metadata, links, anchors, pagerank, ...
- Per-user data: User preference settings, recent queries/search results, ...
- Map data:

 Physical entities (shops, restaurants, etc.), roads, satellite image data, user annotations, …
- -Scale is huge
 - Billions of Web pages, many versions/page (~20K/version)
 - Hundreds of millions of users, thousands of q/sec
 - 100TB+ of satellite image data
 - (Above numbers are as of 2006-7!)

age (~20K/version) s of q/sec

WEB SEARCH: THE COMPLETE WORKFLOW



Indexing the internet

- Crawlers constantly scour the internet for new pages. Those pages are stored as individual records in Bigtable.

Note: ignores page rank functionality for simplicity

Source: "Hbase in Action", Dimiduk, et.al, http://www.manning.com/dimidukkhurana/HBiAs ample_ch1.pdf

(4) The web search application queries the search indexes and retries matching documents directly from Bigtable.

(5) Search results are presented to the user.



GOALS

- Want asynchronous processes to continuously update different pieces of data
 - Want access to most current data at any time
- Need to support:
 - Very high read/write rates (millions of ops per second)
 - Efficient retrieval of small subsets of the data
 - Efficient scans over entire or subsets of the data
- Often want to examine data changes over time
 - E.g. Contents of a web page over multiple crawls

BIGTABLE (2006)

- 'Three-dimensional' key-value store:
 - <row key, column key, timestamp> \rightarrow value
- Effectively a distributed, sorted, sparse map



SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

Bigtable cell











29

SPANNER (2012)

- BigTable insufficient for some consistency needs
- Often have transactions across >1 data centers
 - May buy app on Play Store while travelling in the U.S.
 - Hit U.S. server, but customer billing data is in U.K.
 - Or may need to update several replicas for fault tolerance
- -Wide-area consistency is hard
 - due to long delays and clock skew
 - no global, universal notion of time
 - NTP not accurate enough, PTP doesn't work (jittery links)

SPANNER (2012)

- Spanner offers transactional consistency: full RDBMS
- Secret sauce: hardware-assisted clock sync
 - Using GPS and atomic clocks in data centres
- Use global timestamps and Paxos to reach consensus
 - Still have a period of uncertainty for write TX: wait it out!
 - Each timestamp is an interval:

tt.earliest Definitely in the past





MAPREDUCE (2004)

- Parallel programming framework for scale
 - Run a program on 100's to 10,000's machines
- Framework takes care of:
 - Parallelization, distribution, load-balancing, scaling up (or down) & faulttolerance
- Accessible: programmer provides two methods ;-)
 - map(key, value) \rightarrow list of <key', value'> pairs
 - reduce(key', value') \rightarrow result
 - Inspired by functional programming

MAPREDUCE (2004)



MAPREDUCE: PROS & CONS

- Extremely simple, and:
 - Can auto-parallelize (since operations on every) element in input are independent)
 - Can auto-distribute (since rely on underlying Colossus/BigTable distributed storage) - Gets fault-tolerance (since tasks are idempotent, i.e. can just re-execute if a
 - machine crashes)
- Doesn't really use any sophisticated distributed systems algorithms (except storage replication)
- -However, not a panacea:
 - Limited to batch jobs, and computations which are expressible as a map() followed by a reduce()


DREMEL (2010)

- Column-oriented store
 - For quick, interactive queries



DREMEL (2010)

user_id	user_name	current_balance	number_of_transactions
1	' <u>freddie@gmail.com</u> '	1059298	1224
2	' <u>lindsey@gmail.com</u> '	254	1045
3	' <u>tabby@yahoo.com</u> '	3910	194
4	'philip@hotmail.com'	234028	130
5	' <u>elon@x.com</u> '	-4400000000	1

SELECT sum(current_balance) FROM table WHERE user_id > 2

Suitable for columnar DB

SELECT user_id, user_name, current_balance FROM table WHERE user_id = 1

Suitable for row-oriented DB

THE Google STACK https://people.csail.mit.edu/malte/pub/dissertations/phd-final.pdf



CHUBBY SUMMARY

- Lock Service
- Chubby uses Paxos for everything
 - Propagate writes to a file
 - Choosing a Master
 - Even for adding new Chubby servers to a Chubby cell
- Paxos transforms a multi-node service into something that looks very much like one fault-tolerant, albeit slower, server! -> pretty close to distributed systems' core goal

CHUBBY INTERFACE: UNIX FILE SYSTEM

- Chubby supports a strict tree of files and directories

- The way to think about these files is that they are locks with a little bit of contents (e.g., identity and location of a primary)
- No symbolic links, no hard links
- /ls/foo/wombat/pouch
 - 1st component (ls): lock service (common to all names)
 - 2nd component (foo): the chubby cell (used in DNS lookup to find the cell master)
 - The rest: name inside the cell
- Support most normal operations

- Create, delete, open, write, ...

- Support reader/writer lock on a node

EXAMPLE: PRIMARY ELECTION

Open("/ls/foo/OurServicePrimary", "write mode"); if (successful) { // primary SetContents(primary_identity); } else { // replica Open("/ls/foo/OurServicePrimary", "read mode", "file-modification event"); when notified of file modification: primary = GetContentsAndStat();

THE Google STACK https://people.csail.mit.edu/malte/pub/dissertations/phd-final.pdf



BORG

- Cluster manager and scheduler
 - Tracks machine and task liveness
 - Decides where to run what
- Consolidates workloads onto machines
 - Efficiency gain, cost savings
 - Need fewer clusters
- You might be more familiar with its successor:



BACKGROUND: CONTAINERS https://hanwenzhang123.medium.com/docker-vs-virtual-machine-vs-kubernetes-overview-389db7de7618







KUBERNETES ARCHITECTURE



THE ON Meta STACK https://people.csail.mit.edu/malte/pub/dissertations/phd-final.pdf



THE ON Meta STACK https://people.csail.mit.edu/malte/pub/dissertations/phd-final.pdf



HAYSTACK & F4

- Blob stores, hold photos, videos
 - not: status updates, messages, like counts
- Items have a level of hotness
 - How many users are currently accessing this?
 - Baseline "cold" storage: MySQL
- -Want to cache close to users
 - Reduces network traffic
 - Reduces latency
 - But cache capacity is limited!
 - Replicate for performance, not resilience

What about other companies' stacks?

HOW ABOUT OTHER COMPANIES?

- Very similar stacks.
 - Microsoft, Yahoo, Twitter all similar in principle.
- Typical set-up:
 - Front-end serving systems and fast back-ends.
 - Batch data processing systems.
 - Multi-tier structured/unstructured storage hierarchy.
 - Coordination system and cluster scheduler.
- Minor differences owed to business focus
 - e.g., Amazon focused on inventory/shopping cart.

OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE

- Lots of open-source implementations!
 - MapReduce \rightarrow Hadoop, Spark, Metis
 - GFS \rightarrow HDFS
 - BigTable \rightarrow HBase, Cassandra
 - Borg \rightarrow Mesos, Firmament
 - Chubby \rightarrow Zookeeper
- But also some releases from companies...
 - Presto (Facebook)
 - Kubernetes (Google Borg)

THE Spark STACK

Spark Streaming Stream processing

GraphX Graph computation

MLlib User-friendly machine learning

Spark Fast memory-optimized execution engine (Python/Java/Scala APIs)







NEWER STACKS

- Lots of new support for machine learning
 - Google: Tensorflow, Tensorflow Serving, Tensorflow
 Extended (TFX)
 - Uber: Michelangelo
 - Spark/Berkeley Data Stack (BDAS): MLBase, MLlib, Clipper

e learning ving, Tensorflow

HEWLETT-PACKARD (HP) https://community.hpe.com/t5/hpe-ezmeral-uncut/machine-learning-operationalization-in-the-enterprise/ba-p/7062451

	Data Engineers			D
		$\mathbf{\hat{\Gamma}}$		HF
Sparke	& kafka₀	jupyter	earn 🕗 dat	a
Data Proce	•	K Keras	Sandbox	H ₂ O
Data Pi	rep		Build	
				(
			Blue	Da







MICROSOFT https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/ai-ml/idea/many-models-machine-learning-azure-machine-learning





56

MLOPS INFRASTRUCTURE & TOOLING https://fullstackdeeplearning.com/spring2021/lecture-6/





TAKEAWAYS

- Running at huge (10k+ machines) scale requires different software stacks.
- Pretty interesting systems and design challenges.
 - try to read more papers! (e.g., BigTable, Spanner..)
- Emerging new support for ML workloads.
- Next class: Lab Day II, Hack ZooKeeper



REFERENCES

- -[1] Malte Schwartzkopf. "What does it take to make Google work at scale?" 2015.
- –[2] Jeff Dean. "Software Engineering Advice from Building Large-Scale Distributed Systems," 2007.
- -[3] Jeff Dean. "Building Software Systems at Google and Lessons Learned," 2010.
- –[4] Colin Scott. "Latency Numbers Every Programmer Should Know."



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

THIS COURSE IS DEVELOPED HEAVILY BASED ON COURSE MATERIALS SHARED BY PROF. INDRANIL GUPTA, PROF. ROBERT MORRIS, PROF. MICHAEL FREEDMAN, PROF. KYLE JAMIESON, PROF. WYATT LLOYD AND PROF. ROXANA GEAMBASU. MANY APPRECIATIONS FOR GENEROUSLY SHARING THEIR MATERIALS AND TEACHING INSIGHTS.

